

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/508,773	09/22/2004	Hiroshi Fujii	TASH-7	7976	
20311 75	10/06/2006	EXAMINER			
	ERCANTI, LLP	REESE, DAVID C			
475 PARK AV 15TH FLOOR	ENUE SOUTH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NY 10016		3677		
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/508,773		FUJII ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
			David C. Re		3677			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication app	ears on the d	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.13 nunication. atutory period wi will, by statute,	TE OF THIS 6(a). In no event ill apply and will e cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION , however, may a reply be timexpire SIX (6) MONTHS from the ation to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status				•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>05 Jul</i>	ly 2006.					
· · · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) <u>1-3,10,11,17 and 18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,10,11,17 and 18</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or	election red	quirement.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🔲 🤈	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) acce	epted or b)□	objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 								
Attachmen 1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)			Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) ite			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.1 14, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/5/2006 has been entered. Consequently, the following is the current listing of claims in the instant application:

Status of Claims

- Claims 4-9, 12-16, and 19 are canceled.
- Claims 1-3 and 10-11 were amended.
- Claims 1-3, 10-11, and 17-18 are pending.

Claim Objections

[1] Claim(s) 2 were previously objected to because of informalities. Applicant has successfully addressed these issues in the amendment filed on 7/5/2006. Accordingly, the objection(s) to the claim(s) 2 have been withdrawn.

However, as amended:

[2] Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: minor grammatical issues; in the second to last line (alternately') should be (alternately,).

Also, in Claims 2 and 10, "zero degree" should be "zero degrees."

[2] Lines 8-9 of Claim 1 recite the limitations "the lead angle is mild," and "the lead angle is steep". The terms above are in reference to the multi-pitch nut and not the multi-pitch screw and

the claim therefore lacks sufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim. "The" should be substituted with "a." Appropriate correction is required.

Per the discussion above, other claims have insufficient antecedent basis issues: in Claim 3, "a mild lead angle" should be "the mild lead angle." "a steep lead angle" should be "the steep lead angle." In Claim 10, "a multi-pitch screw and a multi-pitch nut" should be "the multi-pitch screw and the multi-pitch nut." In Claim 11, "a multi-pitch screw and a multi-pitch nut" should be "the multi-pitch screw and the multi-pitch nut." "a mild lead angle" should be "the mild lead angle." And lastly, in claims 17 and 18, "a multi-pitch screw and a multi-pitch nut" should be "the multi-pitch screw and the multi-pitch nut."

Further, Claim 3 and 11 recite the limitations "the direct contacts" and "the flat sections" in the instant claims and dependent ones therefrom. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

[3] Applicant has addressed all rejections under 35 USC § 112 to the Claims in the amendment filed 7/15/2006. Accordingly, the Examiner has withdrawn the 35 USC § 112 rejections.

However, as amended:

[4] Claims 3 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In the instant case, the claims recite "by engaging and disengaging the direct contacts of the flat sections..." This statement is indefinite as it fails to properly delineate

Art Unit: 3677

what exactly is being engaged/disengaged by the lead angles of the male screw (claim 3) and female screw (claim 11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

[5] The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- [6] Claims 1-2, 10, and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as clearly anticipated by Pearson, US- 113,557, because the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, or in public use or on sale in this country more than one (1) year prior to the application for patent in the United States.

The shape and appearance of Pearson is identical in all material respects to that of the claimed design, *Hupp v. Siroflex of America Inc.*, 122 F.3d 1456, 43 USPQ2d 1887 (Fed. Cir. 1997).

As for Claim 1, Pearson teaches of a combination of a multi-pitch screw (Fig. 1) and a multi-pitch nut (Fig. 4) said multi-pitch screw (Fig. 1) comprising a thread of a male screw (Fig. 1) is formed such that sections having a mild lead angle (downward angle) and sections having a steep lead angle (upward angle) are arranged alternately, continuously (the downward and upward angles are indeed arranged alternately and continuously as one can notice from Fig. 1)

and stepwisely during a single turn along a spiral line, said multi-pitch nut (Fig. 4) comprising a thread of a female screw formed such that a section in which the lead angle (downward angle) is mild and a section in which the lead angle is steep (upward angle) are arranged alternately, continuously (the downward and upward angles are indeed arranged alternately and continuously as one can notice from Fig. 1) and stepwisely during a single turn along the spiral line.

Re: Claim 2, wherein the lead angle of said section having a mild lead angle (downward angle) of the male screw (Fig. 1) is zero degree, which forms a flat step of thread (Since applicant has not given a reference point for the term "zero degree", in the most reasonable interpretation possible, the mild lead angle of Pearson can be considered at zero degrees, forming a flat step of thread).

Re: Claim 10, wherein the lead angle of said sections of said female screw (Fig. 1) in which said lead angle is mild is zero degree, which forms a flat step of thread (Since applicant has not given a reference point for the term "zero degree", in the most reasonable interpretation possible, the mild lead angle of Pearson can be considered at zero degrees, forming a flat step of thread).

Re: Claim 17, a feed screw device (combination of Figs. 1 and 4) comprising said combination of a multi-pitch screw (Fig. 1) and a multi-pitch nut (Fig. 4).

Re: Claim 18, a screw fastener mechanism (combination of Figs. 1 and 4) comprising said combination of a multi-pitch screw (Fig. 1) and a multi-pitch nut (Fig. 4).

Response to Arguments

[7] Applicant's arguments filed 7/5/2006 regarding rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant amended claim 1 attempting to

Application/Control Number: 10/508,773 Page 6

Art Unit: 3677

emphasize that the thread line of the present invention is free of downward angles. Such amendment involved the use of the term, "stepwisely". The examiner disagrees that such an amendment emphasizes that the thread line of the present invention is free of downward angles. As such, the prior art of Pearson is still maintained by the examiner as being anticipatory towards the present application. Applicant is reminded that claims in a pending application should be given their broadest reasonable interpretation. In re Pearson, 181 USPQ 641 (CCPA 1974).

And in the instant case, the term, "stepwise" is not inferred by examiner as solely meaning an continuous upwardly or downwardly line. Rather the term "stepwisely" could also mean one up (one step up), one down (one step down), and so forth. Such a term is not considered limiting by the examiner in the instant case.

Also, applicant amended claims 2 and 10 further introducing the "zero degree" language. Though examiner is aware of what the applicant is attempting to claim, as described above, in the broadest reasonable interpretation possible; without a reference angle for the "zero angle," the examiner can designate any angle and label it as having a "zero angle."

Art Unit: 3677

Conclusion

[8] THIS ACTION IS NON-FINAL

[9] The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited further to show the state of the art with respect to this particular type of fastener; as well as their extreme relevance to the current application as many read extensively onto the claimed invention: please see submitted notice of reference cited.

[10] Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David C. Reese whose telephone number is (571) 272-7082. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am-6:00 pm Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J.J. Swann can be reached at (571) 272-7075. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is the following: (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Reese Assistant Examiner Art Unit 3677

DCR

9/20/06

Matherine Mitchell

Primary Examiner